

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

3 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

James Judah (Bar No. 257112)

4 jamesjudah@quinnemanuel.com

Lindsay Cooper (Bar No. 287125)

5 lindsaycooper@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

6 San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

7 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

8 Marc Kaplan (*pro hac vice*)

marckaplan@quinnemanuel.com

9 191 N. Wacker Drive, Ste 2700

Chicago, Illinois 60606

10 Telephone: (312) 705-7400

Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

11 *Attorneys for Google LLC*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 GOOGLE LLC,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 SONOS, INC.,

19 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA

Related to CASE NO. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA

**DECLARATION OF JAMES JUDAH IN  
SUPPORT OF SONOS, INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER  
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE  
SEALED (DKT. NO. 431)**

I, James Judah, declare and state as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am a partner at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP representing Google LLC (“Google”) in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

2. I make this declaration in support of Sonos, Inc.’s (“Sonos”) Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed (“Administrative Motion”) (Dkt. No. 431) filed in connection with Sonos’s Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions Pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-6 (“Motion for Leave to Amend”) (Dkt. 430). If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the information contained herein.

3. Google seeks an order sealing the materials as listed below:

| Document   | Portions Sonos Sought to Be Filed Under Seal | Portions Google Seeks to Be Filed Under Seal | Designating Party |
|--|--|--|-------------------|
| Sonos’s Motion for Leave to Amend  | Portions highlighted in green                | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |
| Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Geoffrey Moss in Support of Sonos’s Motion for Leave to Amend (“Moss Declaration”) (“Exhibit 2”) | Entire Document                              | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |
| Exhibit 3 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 3”)  | Entire Document                              | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |
| Exhibit 4 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 4”)  | Entire Document                              | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |
| Exhibit 5 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 5”)  | Entire Document                              | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |
| Exhibit 6 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 6”)  | Entire Document                              | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |
| Exhibit 7 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 7”)  | Entire Document                              | Portions outlined in red boxes               | Google            |

|   |                 |                                |        |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|
| Exhibit 9 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 9”)   | Entire Document | Portions outlined in red boxes | Google |
| Exhibit 10 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 10”) | Entire Document | None                           | Google |
| Exhibit 11 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 11”) | Entire Document | Portions outlined in red boxes | Google |
| Exhibit 12 to the Moss Declaration (“Exhibit 12”) | Entire Document | Portions outlined in red boxes | Google |

4. I understand that the Court analyzes sealing requests for motions for leave to amend infringement contentions pursuant to the “good cause” standard for non-dispositive motions. *See, e.g., Mediatek Inc. v. Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.*, No. 11-CV-5341 YGR, 2013 WL 10996547, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. May 10, 2013).

5. The portions of Sonos’s Motion for Leave to Amend outlined in red boxes contain references to Google’s confidential business information and trade secrets, including details regarding source code, architecture, and technical operation of Google’s products. The specifics of how these functionalities operate is confidential information that Google does not share publicly. Thus, I understand that the public disclosure of such information could lead to competitive harm to Google, as competitors could use these details regarding the architecture and functionality of Google’s products to gain a competitive advantage in the marketplace with respect to their competing products. Google has therefore designated this information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY and/or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—SOURCE CODE under the protective order (Dkt. No. 92). Although Sonos’s Administrative Motion sought to seal the portions of Sonos’s Motion for Leave to Amend highlighted in green, Google only seeks to file under seal the portions outlined in red boxes. A less restrictive alternative than sealing would not be sufficient because the information sought to be sealed is Google’s confidential business information and trade secrets but has been utilized by Sonos in support of Sonos’s Motion for Leave to Amend.

6. The portions of Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 12 outlined in red boxes contain references to Google’s confidential business information and trade secrets, including details regarding source code, architecture, and technical operation of Google’s products. The specifics of how these

1 functionalities operate is confidential information that Google does not share publicly. Thus, I  
2 understand that the public disclosure of such information could lead to competitive harm to Google, as  
3 competitors could use these details regarding the architecture and functionality of Google's products  
4 to gain a competitive advantage in the marketplace with respect to their competing products. Google  
5 has therefore designated this information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES  
6 ONLY and/or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—SOURCE CODE under the protective order (Dkt. No.  
7 92). Although Sonos's Administrative Motion sought to seal the entirety of Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9,  
8 11, and 12, Google only seeks to file under seal the portions outlined in red boxes. A less restrictive  
9 alternative than sealing would not be sufficient because the information sought to be sealed is  
10 Google's confidential business information and trade secrets but has been utilized by Sonos in support  
11 of Sonos's Motion for Leave to Amend.

12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that to the  
13 best of my knowledge the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 27, 2022, in  
14 Hillsborough, California.

15 DATED: December 27, 2022

16 By: /s/ James Judah  
17 James Judah  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28